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Summary

There is a lack of studies of methods for the clinical assessment of spinal orientation in dogs. Our objective was to determine the accuracy of the identification of the thoracolumbar spinous process by palpation using T13 and the associated pair of ribs. We wished to assess whether spinal localization can be accurately determined by this method and whether the accuracy depends on examiner experience and variables linked to dog characteristics. Four examiners identified different thoracolumbar spinous processes in 120 dogs using one-hand palpation of the T13 spinous process and the 13th pair of ribs. The spinous process (T13, L1 or L2) was marked with a hypodermic needle and a laterolateral radiograph or a postoperative ventrodorsal radiograph of the thoracolumbar spine was performed to confirm the vertebral determination. The relationship of accuracy to length of the examiner’s fingers, training level and the body condition score of the dogs (BCS) were determined. Identification was correct in 87.5% of the cases. All the defined vertebrae were identified with no difference in accuracy (p=0.89). There was no difference between individual examiners (p=0.26). The size of hand had no influence (p=0.13). There was a statistically significant association between the palpatory accuracy and a BCS of 5 (OR=21.11; 95% CI 1.08 – 5.02; p=0.003), as well as with the factor of an experienced examiner in dogs with a BCS of 5 (OR=5.76; 95% CI 0.14 – 3.36; p=0.019). Considering the whole study population, the palpatory accuracy of detecting the thoracolumbar spinous process using T13 and the 13th pair of ribs seems to be independent of examiner experience. In neurosurgical cases the authors recommend confirming the findings by means of diagnostic imaging.
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Unterschiede zwischen den einzelnen Untersuchern (p=0,26). Die Grösse der Hand hatte keinen Einfluss (p=0,13). Eine statistisch signifikante Korrelation wurde zwischen der Präzision der Palpation und einem BCS von 5 (OR=21,11; 95% CI 1,08–5,02; p=0,003), sowie dem Erfahrunggrad des Untersuchers bei Hunden mit einem BCS von 5 festgestellt (OR=5,76; 95% CI 0,14–3,36; p=0,019).

## Introduction

Accurate localization of vertebrae in dogs is important for the interpretation of the findings of neurological examinations and critical in spinal surgery, lumbar epidural injection, lumbar cerebrospinal fluid taps and myelography. Anatomic landmarks described for vertebral localization include the spinous process of the antical vertebral; the distance between the transverse process of the first lumbar vertebra and the head of the last rib; and the relationship of the spinous process of the sixth lumbar vertebra to the wings of the ilium (SHARP and WHEELER, 2005; FRANCH and LOPEZ, 2007). Despite these descriptions, fluoroscopy, radiography and computed tomography are frequently used to confirm the precise localization prior to spinal surgery or injections. In contrast to the case in human medicine, there is a lack of studies of clinical methods for spinal orientation and their validity in dogs (SNIDER et al., 2011).

The objective of the study was to determine the accuracy of identification of lumbar vertebrae using palpation of T13 and the 13th pair of ribs as bony landmarks and to assess the effect of examiner experience, hand size and dog characteristics, such as body condition scores and gender, on the accuracy of the results. We hoped that an accurate determination of spinal localization would be possible with this method and that the accuracy would be independent of examiner experience and variables linked to dog characteristics.

## Material and methods

### Animals

Dogs presented at our institution for orthopaedic and/or thoracolumbar neurosurgical conditions necessitating hemilaminectomy were recruited for the study over a twelve-month period. Dogs were excluded if they presented with dorsal trunk neoplasms or a history of vertebral trauma that could interfere with the accuracy of palpation. Breed, age, gender and body weight were recorded. In addition, a body condition score (BCS) was assessed by a single examiner (FF) and scored as 1 (very thin), 2 (thin), 3 (ideal), 4 (10 to 20% over the ideal body weight), or 5 (40% over the ideal body weight) (EDNEY and SMITH, 1986). The study was approved by the local ethics institution. A signed form for informed client consent from the owners was required for enrolment to the study.

### Examiners

Dogs were examined by four right-handed examiners: one board-certified senior surgeon specialized in neurosurgery (FF) and three fourth-year students of veterinary medicine (MG, KH, FB). Each student examined 20 dogs presented with orthopaedic problems requiring sedation for skeletal radiographs. Palpation and localization of T13 were performed under sedation. The senior surgeon used the same techniques to examine 60 dogs with thoracolumbar disc extrusion under general anaesthesia immediately prior to surgery.

The length of the right thumb and index and middle fingers, from the wrist to the tip of the finger, was measured in all examiners using calipers. The ratio of lengths of the index to middle finger was calculated for each examiner. Hand size was arbitrarily classified, based on the length of the middle finger, as small (<16 cm), medium (16–18 cm) or large (>18 cm).

### T13 identification

Location of the spinous process of T13 was performed as follows. The dogs were examined in ventral recumbency with the examiner standing on the left of the dog with the sagittal plane of the examiner’s body parallel to the dog’s body. With the fingers of the right hand in semi-extension, the tips of the thumb and middle finger were positioned on the caudal aspect of the left and right 13th ribs. This was performed on the most ventral part of the ribs that allowed contact of the index finger with a spinous process using slight flexion of the wrist (see Fig. 1). The spinous process thus identified was considered to be T13 and was confirmed as such by palpation of the caudal adjacent spinous process of L1, which is wider than T13.

Using this method, each student was asked to localize a predetermined vertebra (T13, L1 or L2) in each dog. They were asked to label the vertebra of interest by inserting a sterile 23G cannula on the sagittal midpoint of the spinous process. A laterolateral radiograph was used to identify correct or incorrect localization. For neurosurgical patients with thoracolumbar disc extrusion at the intervertebral spaces T12-T13, T13-L1 and L1-2, the surgical site was identified using the same manual localization of T13 and counting the spinous processes to the site of the lesion previously determined using MRI. Correct localization of the surgical site was evaluated using postoperative ventrodorsal radiographs of the spine. Prior to the study, students familiarized themselves with the method on a midsize canine skeleton.

### Assessment of radiographs

All radiographs were reviewed to determine the accuracy of needle placement over the spinous process and postoperative images. Only needle placement directly on the predetermined spinous process was defined as accurate. The site of hemilaminectomy was recorded for neurosurgical patients. The radiographs were also assessed for evidence of congenital anomalies that might affect the accuracy of palpation, such as block or wedge vertebrae, rudimentary or agenesis of the 13th ribs or floating ribs.

### Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed with commercial software (NCSS 2007, NCSS, LLC. Kaysville, Utah, USA, www.ncss.com and MedCalc version 15.8, MedCalc Software, Ostend, Belgium). Normality of continuous data was evaluated using the Shapiro-Wilk test and by examining Q-Q plots. Fisher’s exact test was used to evaluate associations between categorical data. A Kruskal-Wallis test was used to evaluate associations between continuous and categorical data. The impact of age, sex, body weight, BCS and examiner experience (senior author with more than 20 years of clinical experience vs. students) on accuracy of localization were further evaluated using logistic regression. Significance was set at p<0.05 throughout.
Results

Examiner’s hand size

The index-to-middle finger ratio was similar for all examiners, with values ranging between 0.94 and 0.95. The size of the examiners’ hands were classified as small (two students), medium (surgeon) and large (one student).

Dogs

In total, 120 dogs were enrolled in the study. Breeds represented by at least five dogs were crossbreed (n=19), Dachshund (n=14), French bulldog (n=14), German shepherd (n=10), Yorkshire terrier (n=8) and Jack Russell terrier (n=5). The median body weight at presentation was 13.0 kg (range 1.0–70.0 kg). There were 69 males (42 intact, 27 castrated) and 51 females (24 intact, 27 spayed). The median age at presentation was 6.0 years (range 0.2–13.0). The body condition was scored as BCS 2 in 32 dogs, BCS 3 in 52 dogs, BCS 4 in 25 dogs and BCS 5 in 11 dogs. There was no significant difference in BCS scores related to gender (p=0.868), age (p=0.472) or reproductive status (intact or castrated) (p=0.937).

Accuracy of palpation

Correct localization was achieved in 87.5% (105 dogs) of examinations. In 13 dogs, incorrect localization occurred on the adjacent cranial (n=4) or caudal (n=9) vertebra; in two dogs, localization was two vertebrae cranial (n=1) or caudal (n=1) to the target vertebra. No difference was found in accuracy of localization between the different vertebrae (T13, L1, L2) (p=0.890).

Effect of examiner on palpation accuracy

Vertebrae were correctly localized by students in 80–85% of cases and by the surgeon in 93% of the cases but no difference between examiners was found (p=0.170). Although greater experience (surgeon versus student) was associated with greater accuracy, the difference was not statistically significant (p=0.095). Hand size had no influence on accuracy of palpation (p=0.103).

Effect of dog characteristics on palpation accuracy

No significant difference in accuracy of palpation was found due to gender (p=0.411), age (p=0.830), reproductive status (p=0.097) or body weight (p=0.069), although the median weight of dogs with an incorrect localization was higher than that of those with the correct localization. However, a significant difference was found for BCS (p<0.001) (see Fig. 2). Anatomic abnormalities were present in six neurosurgical dogs (5%) that had a rib anomaly detected on MRI. No dog in the orthopaedic group was affected, so the condition was not sufficiently frequent to enable its effect on accuracy to be assessed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Classification</th>
<th>Palpation accuracy</th>
<th></th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Correct [n (%)]</td>
<td>Incorrect [n (%)]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All cases</td>
<td></td>
<td>105 (87.5%)</td>
<td>15 (12.5%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Examiner</td>
<td>Student 1</td>
<td>16 (80.0%)</td>
<td>4 (20.0%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Student 2</td>
<td>16 (80.0%)</td>
<td>4 (20.0%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Student 3</td>
<td>17 (85.0%)</td>
<td>3 (15.0%)</td>
<td>0.170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Surgeon</td>
<td>56 (93.3%)</td>
<td>4 (06.7%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hand size</td>
<td>Small</td>
<td>32 (80.0%)</td>
<td>8 (20.0%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>56 (93.3%)</td>
<td>4 (06.7%)</td>
<td>0.103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Large</td>
<td>17 (85.0%)</td>
<td>3 (15.0%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body condition score</td>
<td>BCS 2</td>
<td>29 (90.6%)</td>
<td>3 (09.4%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BCS 3</td>
<td>50 (96.2%)</td>
<td>2 (03.8%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BCS 4</td>
<td>21 (84.0%)</td>
<td>4 (16.0%)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BCS 5</td>
<td>5 (45.5%)</td>
<td>6 (54.5%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Regression analysis and odds ratios of variables affecting palpation accuracy

Entering all variables in a stepwise manner in logistic regression revealed that only a BCS of 5 (p<0.001) and low experience (student) (p=0.019) were associated with inaccurate localization, with odds ratios of 52 (95% CI, 6–484) and 13 (95% CI, 2–103), respectively.

Discussion

Exact anatomical orientation along the spine of dogs remains a clinical challenge. Various methods have been described in textbooks but there is a lack of studies of their accuracy (SHARP and WHEELER, 2005; TOBIAS and JOHNSTON, 2012). The one-handed method of T13 localization described in this study was associated with high accuracy (87.5%) but the clinical value of the result must be interpreted with caution as there have been no comparable studies in small animal medicine. Whether other techniques are superior can thus not be assessed. The percentage of erroneous localizations (± 1 vertebra) may be considered clinically irrelevant if the purpose of localization is to determine a clinical suspicion prior to confirmatory diagnostic imaging but may be unacceptable if localization is performed for surgical orientation. Other described surgical orientation techniques involve the identification of landmarks (last rib, transverse process of the first lumbar vertebra, thoracolumbar antclinal vertebra, L6 and sacrum) and tissue labelling by injecting a dye (methylene blue) or inserting a marker needle under radiographic or fluoroscopic guidance (SHARP and WHEELER, 2005; FRANCH and LOPEZ, 2007).

Anatomic variation affecting vertebrae and ribs may affect localization by the technique described in this study. However, this point could not be evaluated as neither rudimentary nor floating ribs occurred in the dogs evaluated for orthopaedic reasons. Had malformations been present, the examiners would have been unaware of them prior to palpation and a greater number of incorrect localizations may have occurred. In neurosurgical cases, the examiner was aware of anomalies prior to palpation and localization was correct in all six cases. An increased or decreased number of lumbar vertebrae would not affect vertebra determination as the method uses the thoracolumbar junction as a landmark.

The present study revealed no difference between examiners in the accuracy of localization but low experience (students) was associated with a greater risk of incorrect localization. Given the difference between experienced and inexperienced examiners, this might suggest a type II error from a low case number in each of the inexperienced examiner’s groups. However, the elevated odds ratio would be consistent with an examiner difference should more cases have been examined. One study in humans demonstrated greater accuracy in examiners with greater knowledge of anatomy (PHILLIPS et al., 2009). The present study was based on only three students and a single surgeon and the surgeon performed palpation on dogs after clipping the hair, which was not the case for the students. The greater contact with the bony landmarks may have facilitated palpation for the surgeon. Studies of the interobserver reliability of palpating specific vertebral segments in humans have shown variable results (BINKLEY et al., 1995; MCKENZIE and TAYLOR, 1997; BILLIS et al., 2003; SEFFINGER et al., 2004) but this point was not evaluated in the present study.

The size of the examiner’s hands did not appear to influence the accuracy of localization. This may be because localization depends on both the index and the middle fingers. The ratio of the lengths of these fingers is very similar in people and independent of gender (MCFADDEN and SHUBEL, 2002). The similar relative lengths of the two fingers may permit examiners to reach the same bony markers regardless of hand size. A short thumb and middle finger of a small hand will be placed dorsal on the rib arch, allowing a short index finger to contact T13, while a long thumb and middle
finger of a large hand will be placed ventral on the rib arch, allowing a long index finger to reach the same point. It should be noted that only four examiners were included in this study and this number should be increased before any conclusions can be drawn.

An elevated BCS was associated with lower accuracy in our study. This finding corroborates reports of the difficulty in palpation of obese human patients (BROADBENT et al., 2000; EIDEMANN et al., 2005; STIFFLER et al., 2007). Subcutaneous tissue thickness in humans has been reported to be least at L1 and progressively greater at L5 (HARLICK et al., 2007). Although tissue depth was not specifically quantified in the current study, localization of T12 instead of T13 during practise with the canine skeleton underlines the impact of this factor on localization.

The size of the patients may also have influenced the accuracy of palpation but body weight was not associated with accuracy and localization was incorrect in dogs weighing from 3 to 52 kg. However, the number of incorrect localizations was too low to enable a precise evaluation of the effect of body weight.

The study suffers from several limitations. It limited the localization of vertebrae between T13 and L2. Only four examiners were evaluated and the use of a single experienced physician to determine the effect of examiner training and experience does not allow conclusions relating to examiners in general. A further limitation was that different examiners studied different groups of dogs, making it impossible to evaluate inter-observer reliability. Furthermore the surgeon was guided by the MRI results of the dogs with regard to the presence of vertebral or rib anomalies, whereas students assessed the dogs without imaging. To exclude vertebral anomalies, orthogonal radiographs would have been preferable to a single laterolateral radiograph of the vertebral column. Assessment of the neurosurgical cases with a post-operative ventrodorsal spinal radiograph was not uniform with regard to the technique used for confirming the localization of the orthopedic cases. Given that the neurosurgical cases had the benefit of a surgical approach and direct palpation of anatomic landmarks, the localization would ideally have been performed preoperatively with a cannula. The inclusion of multiple examiners with varying levels of experience and separately assessing each dog would have improved the study design. The study did not include any left-handed examiners. An assessment of the possible influence of hand size requires further studies on a larger number of examiners with different hand sizes. Further studies are also necessary to evaluate inter- and intra-observer reliability. Although findings showed a high level of accuracy, incorrect localization was observed in some cases regardless of experience. In particular, patient obesity negatively impacts the accuracy of localization. Correct anatomical localization is essential to avert spinal cord injury during surgical procedures. In consequence, fluoroscopy, radiography or computed tomography are recommended unless more accurate manual methods are devised.

**Fig. 2:** Accuracy of palpation of thoracolumbar spinous processes in dogs with various body condition scores. A higher BCS elicited a significant decrease in palpation accuracy. Genauigkeit der Palpation von T13 bei Hunden mit unterschiedlichem Body Condition Score. Ein höherer BCS führte eine signifikante Verminderung der Genauigkeit der Palpation hervor.
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